## NSW Land and Housing Corporation Glebe Mid-Rise Development Proposal



Preliminary Stakeholder and Community Engagement Feedback Summary Report

Version 2.2 15 May 2020

### Contents

1. Intr	oduction	. 3
2. Bac	kground	. 4
2.1.	Project description	. 4
2.2.	Planning proposal application consultation requirements	. 4
3. Cor	nsultation approach	. 5
3.1.	Consultation objectives	. 5
3.2.	Summary of consultation activities	. 5
3.3.	Stakeholder meetings and correspondence	. 5
3.4.	Community drop-in sessions	. 7
3.5.	Communication channels	. 7
4. Fee	dback analysis	. 8
3.1.	Social housing policy and provision	. 8
3.2.	Wellbeing and cultural character	. 9
3.3.	Impacts of proposed buildings	. 9
3.4.	Project information and process	10
5. Pro	ject response	11
6. Nex	xt steps	15
Appendi	ces	16
Appendi	x A: Invitation to community drop-ins	17
Appendi	x B: Invitation distribution map	19
Appendi	x C: Screenshot of project webpage	20
Appendi	x D: Stakeholder notification	21
Appendi	x E: Community drop-in display boards	22
Appendi	x F: Community drop-in feedback form	23

## 1. Introduction

This report has been prepared to document stakeholder and community consultation undertaken to inform the Request for Planning Proposal for the preliminary concept proposal for two adjacent sites at 31 Cowper Street and 2A-2D Wentworth Park Road, Glebe by the property owner, NSW Land and Housing Corporation (LAHC).

The preliminary proposal includes the elements listed below.

- Construction of high-quality housing with a mix of social, affordable and private housing, in buildings up to seven storeys.
- Building design that responds to the local character of Glebe.
- Building design that shows how new housing can be sensitively built in an established inner-city neighbourhood.
- Construction of a new multi-purpose community hub.
- Potential upgrade of MJ Doherty Reserve.

This report summarises the engagement undertaken for this stage of the proposal by outlining:

- the planning requirements for stakeholder consultation
- the consultation process undertaken, including key meetings with stakeholders
- a summary of feedback received, and issues raised, by specific stakeholders, and
- how feedback has been considered in the development of the PPA.

## 2. Background

### 2.1. Project description

LAHC plans to build new community facilities and housing at 31 Cowper Street and 2A-2D Wentworth Park Road, Glebe. The proposal aims to integrate with the local character of the surrounding neighbourhood, provide more social and affordable accommodation, new private housing, new community facilities within buildings with high environmental sustainability performance.

The following objectives have been identified as forming the basis of the proposal:

- Deliver a high quality mixed tenure development which provides for increased social housing and new private housing.
- Deliver a built form which responds to the surrounding context including the adjacent MJ Doherty Reserve and Wentworth Park, recent development at 87 Bay Street development and the St Phillips Heritage Conservation Area.
- Protect the heritage values of the local area by retaining and protecting heritage street trees and respecting the heritage values of the St Phillips Heritage Conservation Area.
- Retain the amenity of the surrounding residential uses by ensuring an adequate level of solar access and privacy is maintained.
- Maintain the amenity of adjoining streets and parks by ensuring street trees are protected and ensuring no overshadowing of the adjacent parks.
- Provide for enhanced passive surveillance and activation of the adjacent open space and public domain.
- Deliver a sustainable outcome through identification of sustainability targets.

As the proposal would not conform with the existing zoning and development controls set out in the Local Environmental Plan (LEP), LAHC must apply to the City of Sydney Council to amend the LEP. A planning proposal application will be lodged with the City via the NSW Government's gateway process.

#### 2.2. Planning proposal application consultation requirements

Consultation requirements for the preparation of a Request for Planning Proposal are outlined in the NSW Planning, Industry and Environment's <u>A quide to preparing planning proposals</u> and <u>A quide to preparing local environmental plans</u>.

The project team opted to undertake pre-lodgement consultation, as a best-practice approach, and as outlined in 6.5.2 Community Consultation of the LEP guide:

"Depending on the nature of the proposal, a PPA may consider that it is appropriate to seek the general views of the community to assist in further defining the intent of the planning proposal prior to submitting it to Gateway. Alternatively, a proponent may consider it best practice to undertake pre-lodgement consultation if the proposal relates to a significant or large site. If this approach is adopted, the consultation will form part of the initial 90 day period between Council receiving a planning proposal request and indicating its support to preparing a planning proposal."

This decision was taken to ensure that tenants, nearby property owners and residents, and the local community were provided with the earliest possible notification of LAHC's intention to lodge a Request for Planning Proposal and opportunity to provide feedback from the outset.

## 3. Consultation approach

### 3.1. Consultation objectives

The consultation objectives are listed below.

- Identify, target and inform stakeholders of the project to provide useful, relevant and timely information.
- Engage stakeholders in the development of solutions that reflect and enhance the local character of the neighbourhood.
- Create multiple opportunities for stakeholder engagement at appropriate decision points of the project and satisfy all statutory and regulatory community consultation requirements.

### 3.2. Summary of consultation activities

Consultation has been undertaken to meet the objectives outlined above. Activities included stakeholder meetings, requests for stakeholder feedback (details provided in Table 1), and community drop-in sessions to inform and request feedback from interested local residents and community members.

### 3.3. Stakeholder meetings and correspondence

LAHC issued notifications by email to a range of key stakeholders to invite their feedback on the proposal, which resulted in one-on-one meetings and the receipt of feedback via email. Stakeholders include local Members of Parliament, local social service providers, local community groups, local businesses, and neighbouring property owners. A summary of this correspondence and feedback is detailed in **Table 1** below.

Dates	Contact type	Stakeholder	Issues raised – summary
21/11/19	Correspondence (Email)	NSW Member for Balmain	<ul> <li>Social housing – questioned funding and policy, suggested additional dwellings and additional bedrooms.</li> <li>Existing services – suggested secured funding and accommodation guarantee required for Glebe Youth Service</li> <li>Community facility – questioned facility demand, management and service procurement</li> <li>Prince's Trust Australia – questioned involvement and suitability for site.</li> <li>Community Housing Provider – suggested their involvement during building design.</li> </ul>
22/11/19	Meeting	Neighbouring property owner - Body Corporate, 34 Wentworth Street Glebe	<ul> <li>Social housing policy – questioned funding, policy, and property maintenance.</li> <li>Accommodation – questioned number of bedrooms, type of facilities.</li> <li>Pathways – suggested creation of pathways for young people to leave social housing.</li> <li>Tenancies – questioned existing practices for management of tenant leases, provision and management of social services.</li> <li>Property management – questioned practices around management of shared property.</li> </ul>
27/11/19	Meeting	NSW Member for Balmain	• Further discussion on points raised in email of 27/11/19.

#### Table 1 - Stakeholder meetings and correspondence

Dates	Contact type	Stakeholder	Issues raised – summary
2/12/19	Correspondence (Email)	Hands Off Glebe Inc	<ul> <li>Information - level, quality and quantity of information available.</li> <li>Public housing - demand for 100% public housing on site and demand for increase in public housing dwellings and bedrooms.</li> <li>Mix - challenged the tenure mix, argued the mix already exists across Glebe.</li> <li>Community facility - questioned community facility demand, funding, management and service procurement.</li> <li>Retail - questioned demand for retail at this location.</li> <li>Prince's Trust Australia – questioned involvement and suitability for site.</li> <li>Sustainability – suggested use of sustainable building materials, energy and water capture and reuse, native plantings.</li> <li>Development – opposed to density increase and overall proposal.</li> </ul>
12/12/19	Correspondence (Email)	The Glebe Society Inc	<ul> <li>Tenure mix – questioned how this may be achieved in practice.</li> <li>Number of social and affordable dwellings and bedrooms – questions around the number provided, requests for increase in social and affordable dwelling and bedroom numbers.</li> <li>Publicly-owned land – questioned sale of public land to private market.</li> <li>Affordable housing – questioned timeframe and suitability of affordable housing component's return to market housing.</li> <li>Community facility – questioned need for an additional facility, suggestions around allocating the funding to existing services and facilities, suggestions around utilising existing facilities.</li> <li>Building design – questioned design compatibility and suitability of Nentworth Park Road frontage, suitability and adequacy of number of dwellings accessing MJ Doherty Reserve, compatibility with nearby heritage buildings.</li> <li>Consultancy and sustainability – questioned involvement of the Prince's Trust Australia and scope of its involvement.</li> </ul>
13/12/19	Correspondence (Email)	Housing Action Plan Now (HAPN) group, a sub- committee of Forest Lodge and Glebe Co- ordination Group (FLAG).	<ul> <li>Height and style of buildings – questioned the neighbourhood fit.</li> <li>Target group – suggested there was a lack of information about target group/s and their housing needs.</li> <li>Social and affordable housing – suggested targets insufficient to meet demand.</li> <li>Healthy housing – suggested need for increased maintenance funding for existing dwellings to realise full potential of housing stock.</li> <li>Project rationale and studies – questioned needs assessment, historical and cultural studies undertaken, traffic impact analysis of recent development.</li> <li>Community facility – questioned research conducted on needs, consultation with local providers.</li> <li>Community voice – questioned overall community benefits, timeline for consultation.</li> </ul>

### 3.4. Community drop-in sessions

LAHC hosted two community drop-in sessions:

- 1. Wednesday 27 November 2019 from 4pm 7pm.
- 2. Saturday 30 November 2019 from 11am 2pm.

Local residents and interested stakeholders were invited to drop-in to a display at MJ Doherty Reserve to find out more information about the proposal, meet the project team and provide feedback.

Local residents were invited (**Appendix A**) to the drop-in sessions via door knock or letterbox drop on Monday 18 November 2019. Refer distribution map at **Appendix B**.

A project page was created on LAHC's website on 18 November 2019 (**Appendix C**) and notification emails were sent to local stakeholders 18 November 2019 (**Appendix D**).

Information about the proposal and the planning pathway was presented on ten display boards (**Appendix E**). Representatives of the project team were available to describe and discuss the proposal, answer questions and explain key aspects of the planning process (refer **Image 1**), Approximately 50 people attended the sessions, providing feedback directly to the project team, via feedback forms or by email. The key issues raised during the sessions, via the feedback forms, via the door knock, and via direct email are outlined in Section 4 of this report.



#### Image 1: Community Drop-In Session, Saturday 30 November 2019

#### 3.5. Communication channels

Communication channels were made available to complement face-to-face consultation activities. Information about the proposal was available through:

- LAHC's project webpage (Appendix F)
- LAHC's project telephone number 9354 1869 and email address communityengagement@facs.nsw.gov.au

These communication channels provided stakeholders with access to project staff who could provide information about the proposal and record feedback on the project's stakeholder contact database.

## 4. Feedback analysis

Feedback was received directly from stakeholders and the local community during the door-knocks, telephone and email enquiries, in person at the community drop-ins, and via feedback forms (**Appendix F**) and was recorded in the stakeholder contact database. Consultation identified key issues of community interest for consideration during the preparation of the Request for Planning Proposal.

Key issues included:

- Social housing policy and provision
- Number of social housing dwellings and bedrooms
- Maintenance of existing social housing dwellings
- Management of tenant relocation
- Residents' wellbeing
- Social and cultural character
- Existing community facilities and services
- Building height, placement and location
- Existing public domain

- Building design influence on anti-social behaviour
- Local traffic and car parking
- Public transport proximity and availability
- Construction management particularly dust, noise and traffic
- Information and process integrity
- Previous proposals and projects
- Previous interactions with NSW Land and Housing Corporation

Four themes have emerged from the feedback and are described in sections 3.1 to 3.4 below.

### 3.1. Social housing policy and provision

A key theme emerged during consultation around overarching social housing policy in NSW. This was due to feedback that included concern about: the number of social housing dwellings available; homelessness and housing vulnerability; how social housing is allocated; property management practices; the mixed/integrated tenure policy objective; and, the funding model to move wholly-owned public land into mixed-ownership at urban renewal sites.

It was widely expressed that more social and affordable housing should be provided as part of the tenure mix for the proposal, with some respondents suggesting the proposal should only include social housing. It was felt by some respondents that homelessness and housing vulnerability could be managed by building more social housing.

There were concerns raised about the allocation of social housing, with some respondents requesting clarification around how tenants were matched with dwellings. Feedback included observations about single people living in multi-bedroomed homes, as well as concern that families may not be returned to the proposed development if only one-bedroomed units were built, due to their need for multi-bedroomed homes.

In relation to feedback about property management practices, it was felt that for mixed tenured sites, dealings between a private body corporate and the NSW Land and Housing Corporation around matters such as property maintenance, tenancy management, and security would need to be carefully considered and managed to avoid potential conflicts.

There were concerns raised about the mixed/integrated tenure model, with some residents expressing concern around the potential for social and psychological impacts on existing residents. For those who expressed support of a tenure mix, they suggested careful planning and ongoing management was needed to achieve objectives.

Feedback included requests for clarification around the number of proposed bedrooms in addition to the number of proposed dwellings, as it was felt that this information would enable the community to better understand the proposed demographic profile changes at the site and consider how existing tenancies may be affected.

There were concerns raised about the policy to secure funding for the development through the sale of units to the private market. Conversely, there was also some support expressed for this model.

### 3.2. Wellbeing and cultural character

Another theme emerged around the importance of promoting the wellbeing of local residents and protecting the cultural character of Glebe. There was particular concern for the residents affected by the redevelopment, with questions around how relocations would be managed and where the residents would be relocated. Community members expressed a strong desire for existing residents to remain part of the community and be relocated locally, with the opportunity to move into newly built homes. There were also comments that residents should not be relocated at all and that the development should not proceed.

There was a clear message from the local community that their strong neighbourhood ties should be protected as it was felt that neighbours actively look after each other's wellbeing. A community member expressed that "existing intergenerational communities must be actively protected", which was a sentiment repeated by attendees of the community drop-ins.

Concerns were raised about the potential traffic and parking impacts for locals, particularly around how social services would be delivered during and after construction. It was felt that wellbeing would be affected if social service providers were delayed due to a reduction of on-street parking availability. It was suggested that construction parking should be carefully managed to prevent this impact and that it could be provided in an off-street area.

It was further raised that recent, nearby redevelopments had impacted the local community by introducing new private market residents, who had changed the demographic profile of the area and were less socially connected with the local community.

There were also a number of local social service providers who expressed a strong desire to be involved throughout the planning of the proposal. They advised they were the local knowledge-keepers, had established strong links across the community, and could provide valuable inputs that would deliver greater benefits to the community. This view was supported by local community members.

### 3.3. Impacts of proposed buildings

An obvious and anticipated theme to emerge focused on the impacts of the proposed new buildings. Attendees of the community drop-ins noted the open space and solar access of MJ Doherty Reserve, which is adjacent to the proposed site. It was felt that buildings higher than the existing tree-lined perimeter of the reserve could shadow the grass, which is regularly used by local residents as a meeting place or a space to access sunshine in the Winter months especially.

It was also felt that buildings higher than the existing buildings on the site would not match the streetscape and should be stepped down towards Wentworth Park. There were suggestions to renovate instead of rebuild, to achieve some of the proposal's objectives. Feedback also included comments about the heritage conservation area, with some residents expressing concern that the building design did not match that of the immediate neighbourhood. There were also questions about the design's compatibility with the nearby, referenced heritage buildings such as the wool stores.

As noted in previous themes, the number of bedrooms was raised as an issue that could affect existing residents and negatively alter the neighbourhood's demographics. It was felt that multi-bedroomed apartments should be included in the building for social housing residents, in addition to single bedroomed apartments, to attract and retain families.

There were concerns raised about the impact of additional people living in the area in terms of local traffic and car parking. It was also felt the location was not well supported by direct public transport, despite its proximity to the CBD.

Sustainability was raised as an area of importance, with some residents questioning the involvement of, and advisory role of the Prince's Trust Australia, particularly around the extent of its knowledge of local climate and environmental considerations.

A number of residents suggested drainage and localised flooding could be an issue, sharing their observations about previous localized flooding along Wentworth Park Road. There were concerns that MJ Doherty Reserve was included in the proposal, given it is public domain. It was felt this public park should not be designed for the exclusive use of residents of the new development. It was further felt that adjoining public domain such as laneways and footpaths should remain excluded from the proposal.

Feedback was received about the building's design around how it could meet accessibility and mobility needs. It was felt that all aspects of the buildings' designs should meet the range of accessibility and mobility needs of our communities, including access to all areas of the buildings and the individual apartments themselves.

Feedback was sought about the potential services provided at a community facility proposed for the site. A common question raised was around the involvement of the Prince's Trust Australia, the procurement process for a future service provider and the potential for involvement of established local service providers in its physical and service design.

When prompted, attendees of the community drop-in provided suggestions for the community facility, which included a wide-range of services for residents of the new buildings and residents of the surrounding neighbourhood, such as formal and informal meeting spaces and activities. However, feedback was also received about existing community facilities in Glebe and there were suggestions that funding should be allocated to those facilities for refurbishment and/or funding security.

There were questions about the research about the need for an additional community facility and the level of involvement of local service providers during building design and service planning.

#### 3.4. Project information and process

Another emerging theme centered on the timing, integrity and availability of project information and the level of community influence during the planning process. There were many comments received at the community drop-in and via direct emails about the level of detail provided in the artist's impressions, which led some attendees and respondents to perceive the proposal to be further advanced along the planning process. It was felt that detailed design had occurred before the community could provide input and that detailed design information was not provided for comment.

There were also comments provided on feedback forms and at the community drop-ins that indicated residents felt their comments would not be heard by the people who make decisions about the proposal. It was further felt that their comments on previous nearby proposals was not taken into consideration, which led them to feel this proposal would follow a similar pathway.

There was a strong call from respondents for further, detailed information, with most respondents wishing to be kept informed and updated as planning progresses.

## 5. Project response

The feedback received during consultation has been considered in the preparation of the Request for Planning Proposal. **Table 2** provides a detailed summary of all feedback received and the corresponding project response.

Key issues	Project response	Relevant reports/references
	Social housing policy and provision	
Number of social housing dwellings available	There would be an increase in social housing dwellings available. There are currently 19 social housing dwellings across the two sites (15 one-bedroom and 4 three-bedroom dwellings). Under the proposal, 35 new social housing dwellings would be built in a new building on the south site (comprising 2 studios, 26 one-bedroom and 7 two-bedroom dwellings).	Refer Planning Report: Appendix D - Reference Design Scheme Drawings
Allocation of social housing	Homes would be allocated to tenants in accordance with applicable Department of Communities and Justice allocation policies and guidelines.	NSW Government's Community Housing Eligibility Policy 2018
Social housing property management	Management of new social housing at the site will be outsourced to a Community Housing Provider, which would be awarded under a competitive tender process.	Refer Department of Communities and Justice - Housing process for engaging Community Housing Providers
Addressing homelessness and housing vulnerability	This proposal is aimed at increasing social housing stock in Glebe.	Refer Planning Report: Section 9 - Justification
Mixed/integrated tenure	Mixed/integrated tenure would be achieved across the two sites in two separate buildings. Social and affordable housing would be provided entirely within one building on the north site, which would also include a ground floor commercial space, owned and operated by a third party through a competitive tender process. The building on the south site would provide private apartments.	Refer Planning Report: Section 5 – The Proposal
Mixed-ownership at urban renewal sites	The mixed ownership model is in line with the NSW Government's Future Directions social housing policy to deliver a new generation of integrated housing developments in partnership with the private, NGO and community housing sectors.	Refer Planning Report: Section 9 - Justification
	Wellbeing and cultural character	
Relocation management, timing and process	As an interim arrangement, 31 Cowper Street (the south site) has provided 15 one-bedroom units for crisis accommodation purposes, with lease terms usually granted up to 3 months. Crisis accommodation will be provided in other properties managed by the community housing provider. A dedicated relocation team will work with individual tenants of the four townhouses on the north site (2A-2D Wentworth Park Road) to negotiate relocation plans. Tenants will not relocate for at least 12 months from the lodgement of the Planning Proposal, which takes place in May 2020.	Refer Department of Communities and Justice - Housing process for relocating tenants Refer Planning Report: Section 11 – Project Timeline
Protecting community ties	Existing tenants will be offered a tenancy in the new social housing building should they express an interest, as part of the relocation planning process.	Refer Department of Communities and Justice - Housing process for relocating tenants
Long term tenancy management	As part of the relocation planning process for individual tenants, options to relocate to housing within their existing neighbourhood are discussed. Additionally, tenants will be offered a tenancy in the new social housing buildings should they express an interest.	Refer Department of Communities and Justice - Housing process for relocating tenants

Key issues	Project response	Relevant reports/references
Social service provider (parking) accessibility to nearby properties	The proposal includes parking provisions that are in line with the City of Sydney's policy. No car parking has been provided for the social housing building (south site). 30 car parking spaces have been proposed for the north site. It is expected there would no significant increase in on street parking demand due to the proximity of the sites to public and active transport routes.	Refer Planning Report: Section 5 – The Proposal
Demographic profile changes	The proposal will deliver a mixed tenure development comprising social and market housing, similar to the adjacent 87 Bay Street development. This is likely to enhance the diversity of the local community.	Refer Planning Report: Section 5 – The Proposal
New residents' social connections with neighbourhood	Roof-top terraces are proposed for both new buildings, designed to be accessible by all residents of the building and would passively encourage social connections for new residents. A communal room is proposed for the market housing building (north site). A non-residential space is also proposed for the social housing building (south site) which could accommodate community or commercial uses.	Refer Planning Report: Section 5 – The Proposal Refer Planning Report: Appendix D - Reference Design Scheme Drawings
Involvement of local groups and social service providers during service planning	A Community Housing Provider would be engaged, if the proposal proceeds to Development Application stage, to provide design and planning input from the customer/client perspective.	Refer Department of Communities and Justice - Housing process for engaging Community Housing Providers
	Impacts of proposed buildings	
Solar access to MJ Doherty Reserve	There would be no detrimental impact to solar access as the Reserve would retain all of its solar access.	Refer Planning Report: Section 9.3.1 – Overshadowing
Ownership of, and facilities at, MJ Doherty Reserve	There are no proposed change to ownership of the Reserve or its facilities.	Refer Planning Report: Section 5 – The Proposal
Building heights, placement and location	The proposal comprises two eight-storey buildings, positioned towards the eastern side of the sites. A row of three-storey townhouses would be positioned adjacent to the Reserve, creating a transition from mid-rise to low density building form.	Refer Planning Report: Appendix D - Reference Design Scheme Drawings
Building design compatibility with streetscape and local area	The massing and form of the buildings have been developed in response to the buildings' surroundings and immediate neighbours. Key local building typologies are proposed for the development - the wool store and terrace. The design seeks to mediate between the low-rise terrace houses of the surrounding area with the more recent mid-rise developments to the east.	Refer Planning Report: Appendix G – Heritage Impact Assessment
Building setback and appearance from Wentworth Park Road	The north building is proposed to have a variable setback to the Wentworth Park Road frontage, ranging from zero setback on the north eastern corner to 7 metres on the north western corner. Sufficient setbacks from both Wentworth Park Roads, and Cowper Street boundaries are proposed in order to respect significant street trees and to improve the streetscape. The proposed non- residential use would be located at ground level, overlooking the reserve and providing passive surveillance and activation of the public domain.	Refer Planning Report: Appendix C – Urban Design Study Refer Planning Report: Appendix D - Reference Design Scheme Drawings
Renovate versus rebuild	A rebuild is proposed in order to achieve an increase in the number of social housing dwellings and to meet the objectives of the NSW Government's social housing policy.	Refer Planning Report: Section 9 - Justification
Apartment design – bedroom numbers for social housing	Under the proposal, 35 new social housing dwellings would be built in a new building on the south site (comprising 2 studios, 26 one-bedroom and 7 two-bedroom dwellings).	Refer Planning Report: Appendix D - Reference Design Scheme Drawings
Public transport service proximity & service availability	There are no proposed changes to public transport services as part of this proposal. The site has excellent access to public transport being within walking distance of light rail and bus services, including high frequency bus services along Parramatta Road.	Refer Planning Report: Appendix J - Traffic and Transport Assessment

Key issues	Project response	Relevant reports/references
Sustainability	An ESD consultant has been appointed to ensure the proposal will	Refer Planning Report:
principles and	address all Council DCP guidelines in relation to sustainable	Appendix K – Ecologically
advice of the	development. Prince's Trust Australia has been engaged by the	Sustainable Development
Prince's Trust	NSW Land and Housing Corporation to provide design input in a	Report
Australia	number of areas, including sustainability.	
Drainage and	Independent civil and flood advice would be obtained during	Refer Planning Report:
flooding	design development and appropriate measures would be put in	Appendix O – Stormwater
	place to ensure the civil and flood design meets both the	Management Report
	Australian and City's standards. The draft site-specific	
	development controls for the site also include controls to ensure sustainability measures are implemented at DA stage.	
Public domain	The proposal would protect and enhance the adjacent public	Refer Planning Report:
impacts	domain through improved street address and activation. It would	Appendix C – Urban Design
	not result in any overshadowing of the adjacent Wentworth Park	Study
	or MJ Doherty Reserve. Development will be contained within the	,
	NSW Land and Housing Corporation's landholdings and adjacent	
	street trees would be retained and protected through generous	
	building setbacks.	
Funding	The proposal will generate a minor increase in demand for existing	Refer Planning Report:
allocation to	services and facilities, which can be addressed through the	Section 9 - Justification
existing services	payment of local infrastructure contributions.	
and facilities		
Apartment design	The design of the building seeks to achieve and exceed the	Refer Planning Report:
<ul> <li>accessibility and</li> </ul>	performance design standards, set by the ADG and Council, for	Appendix C – Urban Design
mobility	accessibility, amenity, daylighting, and cross ventilation. Further	Study
	details on accessibility and mobility will be provided at DA stage.	
Involvement and	Prince's Trust Australia has been engaged to provide design input	Refer Planning Report:
scope of Prince's	in relation to building façades, sustainability, and general amenity.	Section 5 – The Proposal
Trust Australia at	There is also potential for the Trust to provide input on a	
site	construction apprenticeship program for the development.	
	Project information and process	
Timing, integrity	NSW Land and Housing Corporation has adhered to the NSW	Refer NSW Planning, Industry
and availability of	Planning, Industry and Environment's Planning Proposal	and Environment's Planning
information	Guidelines for this proposal. Stakeholders and the local	Proposal Guidelines
	community have been engaged during early planning for the	•
	proposal. This report outlines the engagement activities and	
	proposal. This report outlines the engagement activities and feedback received to demonstrate a transparent and	
	proposal. This report outlines the engagement activities and feedback received to demonstrate a transparent and comprehensive process. Information continues to be available	
	feedback received to demonstrate a transparent and	
	feedback received to demonstrate a transparent and comprehensive process. Information continues to be available	
Level of influence	feedback received to demonstrate a transparent and comprehensive process. Information continues to be available online and in person through email, telephone and face-to-face	Refer NSW Planning, Industry
	feedback received to demonstrate a transparent and comprehensive process. Information continues to be available online and in person through email, telephone and face-to-face contact.	Refer NSW Planning, Industry and Environment's Planning
	feedback received to demonstrate a transparent and comprehensive process. Information continues to be available online and in person through email, telephone and face-to-face contact. NSW Land and Housing Corporation has articulated the scope and	
	feedback received to demonstrate a transparent and comprehensive process. Information continues to be available online and in person through email, telephone and face-to-face contact. NSW Land and Housing Corporation has articulated the scope and timeframe for public involvement during the planning process at	and Environment's Planning
	feedback received to demonstrate a transparent and comprehensive process. Information continues to be available online and in person through email, telephone and face-to-face contact. NSW Land and Housing Corporation has articulated the scope and timeframe for public involvement during the planning process at each community consultation session and in written materials.	and Environment's Planning
during planning	feedback received to demonstrate a transparent and comprehensive process. Information continues to be available online and in person through email, telephone and face-to-face contact. NSW Land and Housing Corporation has articulated the scope and timeframe for public involvement during the planning process at each community consultation session and in written materials. Feedback received during early consultation has led to significant amendments to the proposal. Feedback received during early consultation has been presented	and Environment's Planning
during planning Decision-maker's	feedback received to demonstrate a transparent and comprehensive process. Information continues to be available online and in person through email, telephone and face-to-face contact. NSW Land and Housing Corporation has articulated the scope and timeframe for public involvement during the planning process at each community consultation session and in written materials. Feedback received during early consultation has led to significant amendments to the proposal. Feedback received during early consultation has been presented to NSW Land and Housing Corporation executive and led to	and Environment's Planning Proposal Guidelines
during planning Decision-maker's access and response to	feedback received to demonstrate a transparent and comprehensive process. Information continues to be available online and in person through email, telephone and face-to-face contact. NSW Land and Housing Corporation has articulated the scope and timeframe for public involvement during the planning process at each community consultation session and in written materials. Feedback received during early consultation has led to significant amendments to the proposal. Feedback received during early consultation has been presented to NSW Land and Housing Corporation executive and led to significant revision of the proposal. Changes since the previously	and Environment's Planning Proposal Guidelines Refer Planning Report:
during planning Decision-maker's access and response to community	feedback received to demonstrate a transparent and comprehensive process. Information continues to be available online and in person through email, telephone and face-to-face contact. NSW Land and Housing Corporation has articulated the scope and timeframe for public involvement during the planning process at each community consultation session and in written materials. Feedback received during early consultation has led to significant amendments to the proposal. Feedback received during corporation executive and led to significant revision of the proposal. Changes since the previously exhibited designs include: increased number of proposed social	and Environment's Planning Proposal Guidelines Refer Planning Report:
during planning Decision-maker's access and response to community	feedback received to demonstrate a transparent and comprehensive process. Information continues to be available online and in person through email, telephone and face-to-face contact. NSW Land and Housing Corporation has articulated the scope and timeframe for public involvement during the planning process at each community consultation session and in written materials. Feedback received during early consultation has led to significant amendments to the proposal. Feedback received during corporation executive and led to significant revision of the proposal. Changes since the previously exhibited designs include: increased number of proposed social housing dwellings from 22 to 35; increased street setbacks,	and Environment's Planning Proposal Guidelines Refer Planning Report:
during planning Decision-maker's access and response to community	feedback received to demonstrate a transparent and comprehensive process. Information continues to be available online and in person through email, telephone and face-to-face contact. NSW Land and Housing Corporation has articulated the scope and timeframe for public involvement during the planning process at each community consultation session and in written materials. Feedback received during early consultation has led to significant amendments to the proposal. Feedback received during corporation executive and led to significant revision of the proposal. Changes since the previously exhibited designs include: increased number of proposed social housing dwellings from 22 to 35; increased street setbacks, including along Cowper Street and at the south west corner of the	and Environment's Planning Proposal Guidelines Refer Planning Report:
during planning Decision-maker's access and response to community	feedback received to demonstrate a transparent and comprehensive process. Information continues to be available online and in person through email, telephone and face-to-face contact. NSW Land and Housing Corporation has articulated the scope and timeframe for public involvement during the planning process at each community consultation session and in written materials. Feedback received during early consultation has led to significant amendments to the proposal. Feedback received during Corporation executive and led to significant revision of the proposal. Changes since the previously exhibited designs include: increased number of proposed social housing dwellings from 22 to 35; increased street setbacks, including along Cowper Street and at the south west corner of the South Site; reduced building footprint which will reduce visual bulk	and Environment's Planning Proposal Guidelines Refer Planning Report:
during planning Decision-maker's access and response to community	feedback received to demonstrate a transparent and comprehensive process. Information continues to be available online and in person through email, telephone and face-to-face contact. NSW Land and Housing Corporation has articulated the scope and timeframe for public involvement during the planning process at each community consultation session and in written materials. Feedback received during early consultation has led to significant amendments to the proposal. Feedback received during early consultation has been presented to NSW Land and Housing Corporation executive and led to significant revision of the proposal. Changes since the previously exhibited designs include: increased number of proposed social housing dwellings from 22 to 35; increased street setbacks, including along Cowper Street and at the south west corner of the South Site; reduced building footprint which will reduce visual bulk and scale and minimise overshadowing for adjacent residents;	and Environment's Planning Proposal Guidelines Refer Planning Report:
during planning Decision-maker's access and response to community	feedback received to demonstrate a transparent and comprehensive process. Information continues to be available online and in person through email, telephone and face-to-face contact. NSW Land and Housing Corporation has articulated the scope and timeframe for public involvement during the planning process at each community consultation session and in written materials. Feedback received during early consultation has led to significant amendments to the proposal. Feedback received during early consultation has been presented to NSW Land and Housing Corporation executive and led to significant revision of the proposal. Changes since the previously exhibited designs include: increased number of proposed social housing dwellings from 22 to 35; increased street setbacks, including along Cowper Street and at the south west corner of the South Site; reduced building footprint which will reduce visual bulk and scale and minimise overshadowing for adjacent residents; retention of street trees will which soften the visual impacts of the	and Environment's Planning Proposal Guidelines Refer Planning Report:
Level of influence during planning Decision-maker's access and response to community feedback	feedback received to demonstrate a transparent and comprehensive process. Information continues to be available online and in person through email, telephone and face-to-face contact. NSW Land and Housing Corporation has articulated the scope and timeframe for public involvement during the planning process at each community consultation session and in written materials. Feedback received during early consultation has led to significant amendments to the proposal. Feedback received during early consultation has been presented to NSW Land and Housing Corporation executive and led to significant revision of the proposal. Changes since the previously exhibited designs include: increased number of proposed social housing dwellings from 22 to 35; increased street setbacks, including along Cowper Street and at the south west corner of the South Site; reduced building footprint which will reduce visual bulk and scale and minimise overshadowing for adjacent residents; retention of street trees will which soften the visual impacts of the building form and along with new street plantings will provide for	and Environment's Planning Proposal Guidelines Refer Planning Report:
during planning Decision-maker's access and response to community	feedback received to demonstrate a transparent and comprehensive process. Information continues to be available online and in person through email, telephone and face-to-face contact. NSW Land and Housing Corporation has articulated the scope and timeframe for public involvement during the planning process at each community consultation session and in written materials. Feedback received during early consultation has led to significant amendments to the proposal. Feedback received during early consultation has been presented to NSW Land and Housing Corporation executive and led to significant revision of the proposal. Changes since the previously exhibited designs include: increased number of proposed social housing dwellings from 22 to 35; increased street setbacks, including along Cowper Street and at the south west corner of the South Site; reduced building footprint which will reduce visual bulk and scale and minimise overshadowing for adjacent residents; retention of street trees will which soften the visual impacts of the building form and along with new street plantings will provide for enhanced streetscape; future use of non-residential floor space to	and Environment's Planning Proposal Guidelines Refer Planning Report:
during planning Decision-maker's access and response to community	feedback received to demonstrate a transparent and comprehensive process. Information continues to be available online and in person through email, telephone and face-to-face contact. NSW Land and Housing Corporation has articulated the scope and timeframe for public involvement during the planning process at each community consultation session and in written materials. Feedback received during early consultation has led to significant amendments to the proposal. Feedback received during early consultation has been presented to NSW Land and Housing Corporation executive and led to significant revision of the proposal. Changes since the previously exhibited designs include: increased number of proposed social housing dwellings from 22 to 35; increased street setbacks, including along Cowper Street and at the south west corner of the South Site; reduced building footprint which will reduce visual bulk and scale and minimise overshadowing for adjacent residents; retention of street trees will which soften the visual impacts of the building form and along with new street plantings will provide for enhanced streetscape; future use of non-residential floor space to be determined at DA stage with further consideration of demand;	and Environment's Planning Proposal Guidelines Refer Planning Report:
during planning Decision-maker's access and response to community	feedback received to demonstrate a transparent and comprehensive process. Information continues to be available online and in person through email, telephone and face-to-face contact. NSW Land and Housing Corporation has articulated the scope and timeframe for public involvement during the planning process at each community consultation session and in written materials. Feedback received during early consultation has led to significant amendments to the proposal. Feedback received during early consultation has been presented to NSW Land and Housing Corporation executive and led to significant revision of the proposal. Changes since the previously exhibited designs include: increased number of proposed social housing dwellings from 22 to 35; increased street setbacks, including along Cowper Street and at the south west corner of the South Site; reduced building footprint which will reduce visual bulk and scale and minimise overshadowing for adjacent residents; retention of street trees will which soften the visual impacts of the building form and along with new street plantings will provide for enhanced streetscape; future use of non-residential floor space to be determined at DA stage with further consideration of demand; and, non-residential floor space relocated to provide enhanced	Proposal Guidelines Refer Planning Report:
during planning Decision-maker's access and response to community	feedback received to demonstrate a transparent and comprehensive process. Information continues to be available online and in person through email, telephone and face-to-face contact. NSW Land and Housing Corporation has articulated the scope and timeframe for public involvement during the planning process at each community consultation session and in written materials. Feedback received during early consultation has led to significant amendments to the proposal. Feedback received during early consultation has been presented to NSW Land and Housing Corporation executive and led to significant revision of the proposal. Changes since the previously exhibited designs include: increased number of proposed social housing dwellings from 22 to 35; increased street setbacks, including along Cowper Street and at the south west corner of the South Site; reduced building footprint which will reduce visual bulk and scale and minimise overshadowing for adjacent residents; retention of street trees will which soften the visual impacts of the building form and along with new street plantings will provide for enhanced streetscape; future use of non-residential floor space to be determined at DA stage with further consideration of demand;	and Environment's Planning Proposal Guidelines Refer Planning Report:

Key issues	Project response	Relevant reports/references
Experience with recent nearby developments	NSW Land and Housing Corporation has met with multiple stakeholders, including those involved in nearby developments, to obtain lessons learnt. Local stakeholders will continue to be engaged should planning progress to Development Application to obtain their valuable insights. There will further opportunities to comment on this proposal as it progresses to public exhibition as a Planning Proposal.	Table 1 of this report

## 6. Next steps

While preparing the Request for Planning Proposal, LAHC has informed local stakeholders, including neighbouring residents and property owners, local community groups, local social service providers, and local businesses of the proposal.

LAHC has demonstrated how it has engaged with the range of stakeholders and how feedback has been incorporated into the designs and studies lodged with the Request for Planning Proposal. LAHC will continue to engage with stakeholders and the community during the statutory exhibition of the planning proposal, as well as potential future stages of the planning and development process.

Specifically, LAHC will continue to work closely with the City of Sydney to plan and coordinate the future development application and potential construction, should consent be granted.

LAHC will continue to update its website and produce updates at key project stages for stakeholders and local residents, particularly to those who have registered an interest.

## Appendices

## Appendix A: Invitation to community drop-ins



Locked Bag 5112 Parramatta NSW 2124 ABN 24 960 729 253 www.dpie.nsw.gov.au

18 November, 2019

#### Invitation to community drop-in about preliminary concept proposal for 31 Cowper Street and 2A-2D Wentworth Park Road, Glebe

Dear Neighbour,

The NSW Land and Housing Corporation, in partnership with the Prince's Trust Australia, has today announced a preliminary concept proposal for 31 Cowper Street and 2A-2D Wentworth Park Road, Glebe. Please find artist impressions overleaf.

The preliminary concept proposal includes:

- a building design that responds to the local character of Glebe and shows how new housing can be sensitively built in an established inner-city neighbourhood
- a new multi-purpose community hub
- skills development opportunities for young people, and
- high-quality new housing with a mix of social, affordable and private housing, in buildings up to seven storeys.

In the coming months, we will lodge a planning proposal application with the City of Sydney Council for our preliminary concept. But before that happens, you are invited to find out more about the proposal.

Please drop-in to meet the project team and provide your feedback. There is no need to RSVP and there is no formal meeting. Simply drop-in at any time to view the display and speak with our project team.

Community Drop-In 1 Wednesday 27 November 2019 4.00pm to 7.00pm Location: MJ Doherty Reserve Community Drop-In 2 Saturday 30 November 2019 11.00am to 2.00pm Location: MJ Doherty Reserve

This is just the start of community consultation. There will be more opportunities to have your say after our planning proposal application is lodged with the City of Sydney. We are seeking your initial feedback by Friday 13 December, 2019.

Please visit www.communitiesplus.com.au/other-projects/Glebe, call 9354 1869, or email communityengagement@facs.nsw.gov.au with any enquiries.

We look forward to meeting you at one of our community drop-ins.

Yours sincerely,

Walste

Lindsey Noble A/Development Director Projects Division NSW Land and Housing Corporation

# Artist impressions of preliminary concept proposal




Artist impression of preliminary concept from Park Lane




Artist impression of preliminary concept from Wentworth Street

## Appendix B: Invitation distribution map



## Appendix C: Screenshot of project webpage



The NSW Land and Housing Corporation and the Prince's Trust Australia ha adjacent sites at 31 Cowper Street and 2A-2D Wentworth Park Road, Glebe.

The preliminary concept proposal seeks to deliver:

- a building design that responds to the local character of Glebe and shows how new housing can be s
  neighbourhood
- a new multi-purpose community hub
- skills development opportunities for young people, and
- high-quality new housing with a mix of social, affordable and private housing, in buildings up to see

#### Have your say

Thank you for joining us at our community drop-ins to review the proposal, meet the project team, and provide your feedback. The drop-ins were There is participant of participant of the second s application is lodged with the City of Sydney.

#### Display boards:

The display boards from the community drop-ins can be viewed and downloaded below

Glebe preliminary concept proposal display boards (PDF)

#### General enquiries

Please contact our project team on telephone <u>9354 1889</u> or email <u>communityengagement@facs.maw.gov.au</u> with any enquiries.

#### Resources

Artist impression of preliminary concept from Park Lane

O Download PDF File (17.8 MB)

Artist impression of preliminary concept from Wentworth Street O Download PDF File (13.6 MB)

Glebe preliminary concept proposal display boards

O Download PDF File (7.0 MB)

## Appendix D: Stakeholder notification

 From:
 CommunityEngagement

 To:
 CommunityEngagement

 Subject:
 Preliminary concept proposal for 31 Cowper Street and 2A-2D Wentworth Park Road, Glebe

 Date:
 Monday, 18 November 2019 2:05:48 PM

Good afternoon,

The NSW Land and Housing Corporation today announced, with Prince's Trust Australia, plans to build new community facilities and housing at 31 Cowper Street and 2A-2D Wentworth Park Road, Glebe. The proposal aims to integrate with the local character of the surrounding neighbourhood, provide more social and affordable accommodation, new private housing, new community facilities within buildings with high environmental sustainability performance.

Information about the proposal has been added to the website at <u>http://www.communitiesplus.com.au/other-projects/glebe</u>, which will be updated as planning progresses. You can also find details about the Community Drop-Ins, which are planned for Wednesday 27<sup>th</sup> and Saturday 30<sup>th</sup> November, 2019.

By way of summary, the proposal seeks to deliver:

- a building design that responds to the local character of Glebe and shows how new housing can be sensitively built in an established inner-city neighbourhood;
- a new multi-purpose community hub;
- skills development opportunities for young people; and
- high-quality new housing with a mix of social, affordable and private housing, in buildings up to seven storeys.

Please don't hesitate to get in touch if you need any further information. Our Community Engagement Team can also be contacted on (02) 9354 1869 or via email at Communityengagement@facs.nsw.gov.au

Kind regards,

#### Vanessa Loizou Senior Community Engagement Officer

Land and Housing Corporation | Department of Planning, Industry and Environment T 02 9354 1882 | E vanessa loizou@facs.nsw.gov.au Level 3, 31-39 Macquarie Street, Parramatta www.dpie.nsw.gov.au



The Department of Planning, Industry and Environment acknowledges that it stands on Aboriginal land. We acknowledge the traditional custodians of the land and we show our respect for elders past, present and emerging through thoughtful and collaborative approaches to our work, seeking to demonstrate our ongoing commitment to providing places in which Aboriginal people are included socially, culturally and economically.

## Appendix E: Community drop-in display boards



1256

## Appendix F: Community drop-in feedback form

	BACK FORM aring to lodge a planning proposal application with the City of Sydney Counci
or our prelir Road, Glebe	ninary development concept for 31 Cowper Street and 2A-2D Wentworth Park
Do you have a	ny overall feedback on the proposal?
Do you have i	deas, suggestions or feedback about how the community facility could be used?
Do you have a What you do r	ny feedback about MJ Doherty Reserve? (How you use the Reserve now? What you like? not like? How you want to use the Reserve in the future?)
Do you have a	any other comments for the project team?

#### ABOUT THE COMMUNITY DROP-IN

Thinking about today's community drop-in, please rate how much you agree with the following:

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree
Information was easy to read and understand					
Information was relevant to me					
The project team was helpful and knowledgeable					
l trust community feedback will be accurately reported					
l believe that community feedback will be meaningfully considered					
l feel more informed about the project after attending today's Community Drop-In					
Please return your fee Place in the box prov Scan and email to co	vided today.		au		
<ul> <li>Place in the box prov</li> </ul>	vided today. ommunityengagemen	t@facs.nsw.gov.			
<ul> <li>Place in the box prov</li> <li>Scan and email to co</li> </ul>	vided today. ommunityengagemen	t@facs.nsw.gov.			
<ul> <li>Place in the box prov</li> <li>Scan and email to co</li> <li>OPTIONAL – You in</li> </ul>	vided today. ommunityengagemen	t@facs.nsw.gov.			
Place in the box prov     Scan and email to co OPTIONAL – You Name	vided today. ommunityengagemen	t@facs.nsw.gov.			
Place in the box prov     Scan and email to co OPTIONAL – You I Name Address Email	vided today. ommunityengagemen may remain anol	t@facs.nsw.gov.	u wish		
Place in the box prov     Scan and email to co OPTIONAL – You I Name Address Email Would you like to rece	vided today. ommunityengagemen may remain anol	t@facs.nsw.gov.	u wish		
Place in the box prov     Scan and email to co OPTIONAL – You I Name Address Email	vided today. ommunityengagemen may remain anol	t@facs.nsw.gov.	u wish gresses?		